

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.G. 20231
www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/945,495	08/30/2001	Fernando Gonzalez	303.776US1	3528
7:	590 01/16/2003			
Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A. Attn: Daniel J. Kluth P.O. Box 2938			EXAMINER	
			OWENS, DOUGLAS W	
Minneapolis, M	IN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application/Control Number: 09/945,495

Art Unit: 2811

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

	<b>∧</b> \			de
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
<i>i</i> •>.		09/945,495	GONZALEZ, FEF	RNANDO
	e Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
		Douglas W Owens	2811	ddress
The MA	ILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover she	t with the correspond not a	
THE MAILING  - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MOM  - If the period for recall if NO period for rec	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION e may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. epply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a epply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ithin the set or extended period for reply will, by sta d by the Office later than three months after the maximum adjustment. See 37 CFR 1704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, ma reply within the statutory minimum of iod will apply and will expire SIX (6)	ry a reply be timely filed  If thirty (30) days will be considered tim  MONTHS from the mailing date of this	nely. . communication.
olalus	nsive to communication(s) filed on _	·		
	2h)⊠	This action is non-final.		•
•	CHOITISTITUTE	aveant for forma	I matters, prosecution as to	the merits is
3) Since to closed Disposition of C	l in accordance with the practice diff	der Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4\⊠ Claim(s	s) 1-62 is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
4) Of t	he above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration	١.	
	s) is/are allowed.			
	s) is/are rejected.			
*.	s) is/are objected to.			
7)	s) <u>1-62</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Pag	- aification is objected to by the Exal	miner.		
<b>—</b> .	is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected i	o by the Examiner.	,
	t at an amus chiection	to the drawing(s) be tield if	abcyanos, eve	o(a).
Appli 	cant may not request that any objection oposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) ☐ approved l	o)  disapproved by the Exa	aminer.
11) The pro	oposed drawing correction filed on proved, corrected drawings are required	t in reply to this Office action	1.	
If app	proved, corrected drawings are required	ho Evaminer		
	ath or declaration is objected to by the	HE EXAMINET.		
Priority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		10 C 8 119(a)_(d) or (f)	
13) Ackno	owledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 C	1.3.U. 9 113(a)-(a) or (i).	
a)∏ All	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			- 3 J / -
1.	a wished popios of the priority docu	uments have been receive	ed.	p
2.		uments have been receive	ed in Application No	- / ional Stage
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation	ne priority documents have not bureau (PCT Rule 17 a list of the certified con	e been received in this read .2(a)). ies not received.	
* See th	e attached detailed Office action for downwelding with the world with the made of a claim for downwelding the world with the manual transfer of the world with the world wi	omestic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provi	sional application)
14) Ackno	wledgment is made of a claim for di	an provisional application	n has been received.	,
a) 🔲 <sup>-</sup> 15) 🗌 Ackno	The translation of the foreign languation by ledgment is made of a claim for d	domestic priority under 35	U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)		, m	Interview Summary (PTO +13) Pa	per No(s)
	leferences Cited (PTO-892) praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5)	Interview Surfilliary (1707) Notice of Informal Pater. Applicati Other	on (PTO-152)

¥⊨ ' .

Art Unit: 2811

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 33 49, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 302+.
  - II. Claims 22 25, drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 242.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, for example, the wet etching can be used to form a recess bottom, instead of dry etching.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the embodiments depicted in Figs. 2, 3, 4, 13A-13B and 17.

Äpplication/Control Number: 09/945,495

Art Unit: 2811

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas W Owens whose telephone number is 703-308-6167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 703-308-2772. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

DWO January 13, 2003 TOM THOMAS

Page 4

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

RAMOHT MOT